1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669	
	City Attorney	
2	JOANNE HÖEPER, State Bar #114961	
	Chief Trial Deputy	
3	SEAN F. CONNOLLY, State Bar #152235	
	Deputy City Attorney	
4	Fox Plaza	
·	1390 Market Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
5	San Francisco, California 94102-5408	
١	Telephone: (415) 554-3863	
6	Facsimile: (415) 554-3837	
۷	E-Mail: sean.connolly@sfgov.org	
7	E Man. Sean.comony & sigov.org	
´	Attorneys for Defendant	
8	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
١,	CITT AND COUNTT OF SAN FRANCISCO	
9	IOCEDU D DDENT GA D #214450	
	JOSEPH P. BRENT, State Bar #214459	
10	DAVID LEE FIOL, State Bar #203546 Brent & Fiol, LLP	
11	222 Kearny Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94108	
11		
12	Telephone: (415) 984-0415 Facsimile: (415) 373-4420	
12		
13	E-Mail: jbrent@brentfiollaw.com	
	Attornava for Plaintiffs	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs JEFFREY BITTON, MICHA BITTON	
ן די	& SANDRA BITTON	
15	& SANDRA BITTON	
16	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
	ONTED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
	1 (011111111111111111111111111111111111	
18	JEFFREY BITTON, MICHA BITTON AND	Case No. C08-5585 MMC
	SANDRA BITTON,	
19	~~~,~~,	SECOND JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE
	Plaintiffs,	MOTION TO EXTEND JURISDICTION OF
20	,	ENE EVALUATOR IN ORDER TO CONDUCT
	VS.	ENE HEARING; PROPOSED ORDER
21		[STIPULATED]
	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	[CIVIL L.R. 7-11]
22	FRANCISCO, a municipal entity, SAN	,
	FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT	
23	CAPTAIN DAVID LAZAR, POLICE	
	OFFICER BURKE (SFPD BADGE NO.	
24	2240), POLICE OFFICER BRENT	
	BRADFORD (SFPD BADGE NO. 4199),	
25	POLICE OFFICER NG (SFPD BADGE NO.	
	338),POLICE OFFICER DOWKY (SFPD	
26	BADGE NO. 799), POLICE OFFICER	
	MARON (SFPD BADGE NO. 1929), POLICE	
27	OFFICER NEWBECK (SFPD BADGE NO.	
	1691), POLICE OFFICÈR PAPALE (SFPD	
28	BADGE NO. 642), POLICE OFFICER	

1

N:\LIT\LI2009\090798\00599378.DOC

STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND ADR

JURISDICTION; CASE NO. C08-5585 MMC

1 3

4

Defendants.

5 6

7

8

Hearing.

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 JONES (SFPD BADGE NO. 852), POLICE OFFICER GARDEN (SFPD BADGE NO. 404), POLICE OFFICER MCKINNEY (SFPD BADGE NO. 4), POLICE OFFICER LIBERTA (SFPD BADGE NO. 682), and DOES 1-100,

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-11 and ADR L.R. 5-4(b), the parties jointly file this stipulated Administrative Motion to extend the jurisdictional time of the evaluator in order to conduct an ENE

## **BACKGROUND**

This is a civil rights action brought by the Jeffrey Bitton, Micha Bitton and Sandra Bitton against individual San Francisco police officers and the City and County of San Francisco. Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants violated their Fourth Amendment rights by making an illegal arrest, an unlawful entry into their home and using unlawful force, while the Defendants maintain that they had probable cause to arrest, that exigent circumstances justified a warrantless entry, and that any force used was lawful.

The parties and the Court's ADR coordinator engaged in a teleconference regarding the timing of the ENE Hearing, the form of mandatory ADR selected by the parties. Following the conference, the Court appointed Charles E. Farnsworth as the ENE Evaluator and set the hearing for June 11, 2009.

Due to conflicting schedules of the parties and evaluator, and the evaluator's extended vacation plan, the parties were unable to conduct the hearing. Further, defense counsel had a family medical emergency during that time that hampered scheduling of the matter. A subsequent hearing could not be rescheduled within the 90-day time limit prescribed by ADR Local Rules for conducting such hearing.

On September 8, 2009, a further ADR phone conference was conducted, at which time the ADR coordinator recommended that the parties file a joint administrative motion seeking an extension of the ENE Evaluator's jurisdiction so that ADR efforts could ensue. The court extended the ENE STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND ADR N:\LIT\LI2009\090798\00599378.DOC JURISDICTION; CASE NO. C08-5585 MMC

jurisdiction for an additional 60 days. In the ensuing 60 days, the parties attempted to schedule an 1 2 ENE with the evaluator, but could not. In this same period of time, the parties consulted with the 3 ADR administrator regarding the difficulty of scheduling an ENE with the evaluator then assigned. 4 Due to issues and reasons unknown to the parties the ADR administrator recommended that the 5 parties use a different evaluator. The parties agreed, and on or about November 24, 2009, the Court appointed another ENE evaluator, Patrick Robbins. The appointment was almost at the end of the 6 7 ENE jurisdiction. On December 2, 2009, the parties held their joint phone conference with the new 8 evaluator and all agreed to moved the court for a further extension of time to conduct the ENE. 9 Accordingly, the parties file this joint motion and request an extension of time to conduct an 10 ENE until January 31, 2010. The parties and evaluator have tentatively scheduled January 20, 2010 11 for an ENE conference. Fact discovery closes January 29, 2010. In the event that the case does not 12 resolve at the ENE, the parties will also request by a separate stipulation a 58-day extension of all the 13 deadlines in this case. That would be the first such request and the parties do not anticipate making any 14 other requests for additional time. 15 Dated: December 15, 2009 DENNIS J. HERRERA 16 City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER 17 Chief Trial Deputy 18 19 By: s/Sean Connolly SEAN F. CONNOLLY 20 **Deputy City Attorney** 21 Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al. 22 23 Dated: December 15, 2009 **BRENT & FIOL, LLP** 24 25 By: s/Joseph Brent 26 JOSEPH P. BRENT, ESO. DAVID LEE FIOL, ESQ. 27 Attorneys for plaintiffs JEFFREY BITTON, 28 MICHA BITTON & SANDRA BITTON

STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND ADR JURISDICTION; CASE NO. C08-5585 MMC

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, it is so Ordered that ENE Evaluator Patrick Robbins' jurisdiction to complete the early neutral evaluation be extended until January 29, 2010. The Court also extends the deadline for fact discovery to and including March 26, 2010.

The Court makes no determination herein with respect to any request to extend the pretrial deadlines.

Date: December 17, 2009

The Honorable Maxine M. Charly
Judge of the United States District Court